

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,269	04/08/2004	Torsten Schulz	MAIWAL 3.9-003 CONT 8565 EXAMINER	
530	7590 08/16/2006			
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			TRAN, MY CHAU T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELI	O, NJ 07090	1639		
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/820,269	SCHULZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Restarctin	MY-CHAU T. TRAN	1639			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Fe)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 February 2005</u> .				
<u></u>					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or example.	wn from consideration.				
	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/820,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Application and Claims Status

1. Applicant's preliminary amendment filed 11/01/2004 is acknowledged and entered. The instant specification has been amended to insert sequence identifiers.

2. Claims 1-45 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-24, 44, and 45, drawn to a device, classified in class 435, subclass 287.1.
 - II. Claims 25-43, drawn to a method of carrying out a microarray-based test, classified in class 436, subclass 518.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions of Group I (apparatus) and Group II (process) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

(MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method synthesizing oligomer or method of cells sorting.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,269 Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or.

divergent subject matter. The different methods would require completely different searches in

both the patent and non-patent databases, and there is no expectation that the searches would be

coextensive. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

6. This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed

invention for Groups I and II. Election is required as follows.

7. If applicant elected the invention of Group I, applicant is required to elect from the

following patentably distinct species. Claim 1 is generic.

Subgroup 1: Species of base element (e.g., see claim 3)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a single species of base element.

Subgroup 2: Species of lid element (e.g., see claim 4)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a single species of lid element.

Subgroup 3: Species of intermediate element (e.g., see claim 6)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a single species of intermediate element.

Subgroup 4: Species of library (e.g., see claims 18-24)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a single species of library.

Art Unit: 1639

Subgroup 5: Species of holding element (e.g., see claims 1 and 44)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a single species of holding element.

8. If applicant elected the invention of Group II, applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species. Claim 25 is generic.

Subgroup 1: Species of Species of library (e.g., see claims 26, 27, 30-32, and 38)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a single species of library.

Subgroup 2: Species of Species of sample (e.g., see claims 28, 29, 33-37, and 40-43)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a single species of sample.

- 9. The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. Moreover, the above species can be separately classified. Consequently, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and election for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of the above species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 11. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must include an identification of the</u>

 <u>species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable</u>

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or

Page 5

that all claims are generic is considered *nonresponsive* unless accompanied by an election.

12. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an

election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention. Because the

above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an

oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve

a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated

as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-0810. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday: 8:00-2:30; Tuesday-Thursday: 7:30-5:00; Friday: 8:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras, Jr., can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

My-Chau T. Tran August 5, 2006